



International  
Scientific Conference



# Algebraic and Geometric Methods of Analysis



Devoted to 160 anniversary of  
**Dvytro Grave**  
(25.08.1863 - 19.12.1939)  
Academician of the Ukrainian  
Academy of Sciences, the  
first director of the Institute of  
Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine

May 29 – June 1, 2023  
Odesa, Ukraine

## LIST OF TOPICS

- Algebraic methods in geometry
- Differential geometry in the large
- Geometry and topology of differentiable manifolds
- General and algebraic topology
- Dynamical systems and their applications
- Geometric and topological methods in natural sciences
- Geometric problems in mathematical analysis

## ORGANIZERS

- Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine
- Odesa National University of Technology
- Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
- Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv
- Kyiv Mathematical Society

## SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| • <b>Bolotov D.</b> ( <i>Kharkiv, Ukraine</i> )  | • <b>Konovenko N.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> )   |
| • <b>Bondarenko V.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )  | • <b>Maksymenko S.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )   |
| • <b>Boychuk O.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )     | • <b>Mikhailets V.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )   |
| • <b>Boyko V.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )       | • <b>Ostrovskiy V.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )   |
| • <b>Cherevko Ye.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> )  | • <b>Petravchuk A.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )   |
| • <b>Dorogovtsev A.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> ) | • <b>Plaksa S.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )       |
| • <b>Drozd Yu.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )      | • <b>Portenko M.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )     |
| • <b>Gerasymenko V.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> ) | • <b>Pratsiovytyi M.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> ) |
| • <b>Fedchenko Yu.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> ) | • <b>Savchenko O.</b> ( <i>Kherson, Ukraine</i> ) |
| • <b>Kiosak V.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> )     | • <b>Romanyuk A.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )     |
| • <b>Kochubei A.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )    | • <b>Timokha O.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )      |

## ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| • <b>Maksymenko S.</b> ( <i>Kyiv, Ukraine</i> )  | • <b>Cherevko Ye.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> ) |
| • <b>Konovenko N.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> )  | • <b>Osadchuk Ye.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> ) |
| • <b>Fedchenko Yu.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> ) | • <b>Sergeeva O.</b> ( <i>Odesa, Ukraine</i> )  |

- [5] M. STEPHEN AND N. ZHANG, *Grünbaum's inequality for projections*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), no. 6, 2628–2640.

## Contractions of representations and realizations of Lie algebras

Maryna Nesterenko

(Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, 3 Tereshchenkivska Str., Kyiv, 01004 Ukraine;  
Department of Mathematical Analysis and Probability Theory, National Technical  
University of Ukraine (KPI), 37 Beresteyskyi avenue, Kyiv, 03056 Ukraine)  
*E-mail:* maryna@imath.kiev.ua

Realizations (first-order differential operators) and representations (linear operators) of Lie algebras are widely applicable in modern group analysis of differential equations, in classification of gravity fields, in geometric control theory, in difference schemes for numerical solutions of differential equations, in theory of invariants, etc.

To study limit processes that connect different theories or their mathematical models it is useful to investigate contractions (limit connections) of their underlying symmetries. In practice, we first study possible limit processes between abstract Lie algebras, and then, we need to find a way how to introduce similar limits in the existing realizations or representations of Lie algebras. Unfortunately, the direct application of the known contraction to a realization or representation of a Lie algebra gives several zero operators, what makes it impossible for further application to real equations.

To overcome this obstacle, we propose to construct a parameterized series of realizations and representations based on the action of the contraction matrix on the tensor of structure constants. The realizations and representations obtained in this way coincide in the limit with the corresponding realizations and representations of contracted Lie algebras. We provide the algorithm for constructing parameterized series and present a number of illustrative examples.

For clarity, let's consider main definitions. Let  $\mathcal{L}_n(V)$  be the variety of  $n$ -dimensional Lie algebras (set of Lie brackets) on a vector space  $V$  over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ , then each  $n$ -dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot])$  corresponds to a multiplication rule  $\mu \in \mathcal{L}_n$ :  $\forall x, y \in V \quad [x, y] = \mu(x, y)$ .

General linear group  $GL(V)$  acts on the variety of Lie brackets as follows:

$$\forall A \in GL(V), \forall \mu \in \mathcal{L}_n \quad (A\mu)(x, y) = A^{-1}(\mu(Ax, Ay)) \quad \forall x, y \in V.$$

Consider a continuous function  $U(\varepsilon) = U: (0, 1] \rightarrow GL(V)$  and a parameterized family of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_\varepsilon = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_\varepsilon)$  with the Lie product defined for arbitrary elements of the vector space  $[x, y]_\varepsilon = U_\varepsilon^{-1}[U_\varepsilon x, U_\varepsilon y]$ . All such algebras are isomorphic to the initial algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot])$ .

**Definition 1.** If  $\forall x, y \in V$  there exists a limit

$$[x, y]_0 := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} [x, y]_\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} U_\varepsilon^{-1}[U_\varepsilon x, U_\varepsilon y]$$

then  $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$  is a well-defined Lie bracket and Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (V, \mu_0)$  is called a *contraction* of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an open domain. Let us denote the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on  $M$  by  $\text{Vect}(M)$ .

**Definition 2.** A *realization* of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  in vector fields on  $M$  is a homomorphism

$$R: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(M).$$

Let us consider the algebra of endomorphisms  $gl(V)$  of the vector space  $V$  and define a representation, which is closely related to Lie algebra module.

**Definition 3.** A *representation* of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a homomorphism

$$\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow gl(V).$$

Let us outline the algorithm in the case of realizations:

- (1) Construct parameterized structure constants using the continuous function  $U$ , that do realize the desired contraction  $C_{\varepsilon, i'j'}^{k'} := (U_{\varepsilon})_{i'}^i (U_{\varepsilon})_{j'}^j (U_{\varepsilon}^{-1})_k^{k'} C_{ij}^k$ , where  $C_{ij}^k$  are structure constants of the initial Lie algebra.
- (2) Calculate  $\varepsilon$ -dependent adjoint actions (using the structure constants  $C_{\varepsilon, i'j'}^{k'}$ ), exponents and differential 1-forms:  $\text{ad}^{\varepsilon} e_i$ ,  $\exp(-x_i \text{ad}^{\varepsilon} e_i)$ ,  $\omega^{\varepsilon}(x)$ .
- (3) Find the inverse transformation to obtain the vector fields  $\xi^{\varepsilon}(x) = (\omega^{\varepsilon}(x))^{-1}$ , that are the parameterized realization that do contracts to the realization of the contracted Lie algebra.

To conclude let us mention that contraction of the fixed realization or representation of a Lie algebra is more complicated task. Namely, in the case of realization, we first have to define it's subalgebra (studying the kernel of the linear operator in the initial point), then we have to find the equivalence transformations to the canonical realization. After that we can apply our algorithm and complete it by the inverse of the equivalence transformations.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Magazev, V. V. Mikheyev, and I. V. Shirokov SIGMA **11**:066 (2015) 17 pages.
- [2] M. Nesterenko, R. Popovych, J. Math. Phys. **47** (2006) 123515, 45 pages.
- [3] R. O. Popovych, V. M. Boyko, M. O. Nesterenko, M. W. Lutfullin, J. Phys. A **36** (2003) 7337–7360.

## Geodesic orbit pseudo Riemannian nilmanifolds

Yuri Nikolayevsky

(La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia)

*E-mail*: y.nikolayevsky@latrobe.edu.au

We know that in the Riemannian case, (i) for every homogeneous space, there is a reductive decomposition at the level of Lie algebras, (ii) the isometry group of a simply connected nilmanifold is the semidirect product of isometric automorphisms and translations (Wolf/Wilson), and (iii) geodesic orbit nilmanifolds are necessarily two-step nilpotent or abelian (Gordon). Neither of this is true in pseudo-Riemannian signature. However, it turns out that in low signature, some results may still be “rescued”. This is a joint work (which is partially still in progress) with Joe Wolf, Zhiqi Chen and Shaoxiang Zhang.

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>E. Lytvynov</b> <i>Lie structures of the Sheffer group over a Hilbert space</i>  | <b>58</b> |
| <b>R. El Maaouy, D. Bennis, L. Oyonarte, J. R. G. Rozas</b> <i>The Gorenstein flat model structure relative to a semidualizing module</i>                       | <b>60</b> |
| <b>O. Makarchuk</b> <i>On the structure of the distribution of one random series.</i>   | <b>61</b> |
| <b>S. Maksymneko</b> <i>Homotopy types of diffeomorphisms groups of simplest Morse-Bott foliations on lens spaces</i>   | <b>62</b> |
| <b>Iu. Marko</b> <i>Spaces of idempotent measures with countable support</i>  | <b>62</b> |
| <b>S. Marouaniv</b> <i>SKT hyperbolic and Gauduchon hyperbolic compact complex manifolds</i>  | <b>63</b> |
| <b>N. Mazurenko, M. Zarichnyi</b> <i>Invariant <math>*</math>-measures</i>  | <b>66</b> |
| <b>M. Mhamdi</b> <i>Hölder Continuity of Generalized Harmonic Functions in the Unit Disc</i>  | <b>67</b> |
| <b>Ł. Michalak</b> <i>Reeb graph invariants of Morse functions, manifolds and groups</i>  | <b>69</b> |
| <b>P. Mormul</b> <i>Car+trailers' systems are locally nilpotentizable (a Trieste 2000 conference revisited)</i>   | <b>70</b> |
| <b>J. Morris</b> <i>Degree theory for proper <math>C^1</math> Fredholm mappings with applications to boundary value problems on the half line</i>               | <b>70</b> |
| <b>S. Myroshnychenko, K. Tatarko, V. Yaskin</b> <i>How far apart can the projection of the centroid of a convex body and the centroid of its projection be?</i> | <b>71</b> |
| <b>M. Nesterenko</b> <i>Contractions of representations and realizations of Lie algebras</i>  | <b>73</b> |
| <b>Yu. Nikolayevsky</b> <i>Geodesic orbit pseudo Riemannian nilmanifolds</i>  | <b>74</b> |
| <b>Z. Novosad, A. Zagorodnyuk</b> <i>The conditions of hypercyclicity of weighted backward shifts</i>   | <b>75</b> |
| <b>T. Obikhod</b> <i>Studying the properties of a superpotential using algebraic equations</i>  | <b>76</b> |
| <b>P. O. Olanipekun</b> <i>On critical submanifolds of the Willmore energy in four dimensions</i>   | <b>78</b> |
| <b>I. Ovtsynov</b> <i>Fermat–Torricelli sets of finite sets of points in Euclidean plane</i>  | <b>80</b> |
| <b>C. A. Pallikaros</b> <i>Degenerations of complex associative algebras of dimension three</i>   | <b>82</b> |
| <b>J. F. Peters, F. Peu, J. Zia</b> <i>Several forms of the geometric Lusternik-Schnirel'mann category</i>  | <b>82</b> |